Itanagar, Agartala, Tripura against the Editor, The Syandan Patrika, Agartala, Tripura.

(5) Complaint of Shri Sayeedullah Mongrum, M.L.A., General Secret tary, The Shillong Muslim Union, Bishnupur, Shillong against the Editor, Agardoot, Assamese Bi-Weekly Dispur Gauhati.

(6) Complaint of Shri T. C. K. Lotha, Chief Secretary to Govt, ot Nagaland, Kohima against Nagaland Post.

(7) Complaint of Shri T. C. K. Lotha, Chief Secretary to Govt, ot Nagaland, against Hill Express.

(8) Complaint of Shri T. C. K. Lotha, Chief Secretary to Govt, of Nagaland against the Nagaland Journal,

(9) Complaint of Shri Sudhir Ran-gan, M.P. (Rajya Sabha), N. Delhi against the Editor, Dainik Desher Katha, Agartala.

(10) Shri Bidhan Purkayastha, Edi tor, Cachar Times (Silchar, Assam against the Editor, Sonar Cachar, As samese Daily Silchar.

(11) Complaint of Shri Atul C. Marak, Hon'ble GAD Minister, Govt of Meghalaya, Distt. W. Gargo Hills, Meghalaya against the Editor, The Meghalaya Gaurdian, Shillong.

Criteria for approval of serials

7873 SHRI T. VENKATRAM REDDY: Will the Minister of INFORMATION & BROADCASTING be pleased to state:

(a) the criteria laid down for the approval of Doordarshan serials;

(b) whether there is any serial Review Committee to review the re-jected serials;

(c) if so, the details thereof; and

(d) the number of serials which were rejected at first but were later accepted when resubmitted to the Serial Review Committee during 1993 and 1994?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI K. P. SINGH DEO): (a)
The broad selection criteria for serials are as follows;

(i) Story-line and its contemporary relevance;

((ii) Treatment of theme;

(iii) Overall competence of the technical crew; and

(iv) relevance to Doordarshan's programme requirements,

(b) and (c) Yes, Sir. The guidelines under Doordarshan's New Sponsorship Scheme provided for the appeals of producers whose proposals had been rejected being examined by a review committee consisting of the Director General, Doordarshan and at least three non-official experts (other than the non-offcial experts who had considered the proposal earlier) from the penal maintained by Doordarshan.

(d) Doordarshan did not receive an appeal for reconsideration of any of the 3095 proposals rejected by the Selection Committee under the said scheme.

Counter-guarantee in Dhabol Power Project

7374. SHRI KRISHAN LAL SHARMA: Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state;

(a) whether it is a fact that the World Bank was not in favour of giving counter-guarantee to foreign private investor in Dabhol project in Maharashtra;